

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/780,729	KOSHIDA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Peter Szekely	1714	

**All Participants:**

(1) Peter Szekely.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) William Hamby.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 19 November 2003

**Time:** 3:00p.m.

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None.*

Claims discussed:

*None.*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None.*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr Hamby stated that the application has been abandoned in favor of a continuation.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)